

Appendix A: Headline Texts

News Headlines	Headline Reference # ¹	Partisanship Score ²
<i>True Headlines</i>		
Facts did not justify wiretap of Trump aide	01	3.96
DC sues inaugural committee for overpaying.	02	2.635
Amid signs of trouble for Trump, Republican mega-donors scramble to retain the senate	03	3.05
A Virginia House candidate campaigns by attacking a man dressed as a woman.	04	3.025
Biden leads Trump in three key battleground states	05	2.47
Apple, Facebook, and other major companies denounce Trump visa restrictions.	06	2.615
Biden urged not to debate Trump	07	3.195
Trump tax break under investigation.	08	2.565
Conservative groups aggressively oppose socialized health care	09	3.515
Trump allies handing out cash to Black voters.	10	3.225
<i>False Tagged Headlines</i>		
New black panthers come dangerously close to President Trump	11	3.64
Malia praises Trump	12	4.085
Walmart playing audio about BLM	13	2.91
Haiti official who exposed the Clinton Foundation found dead.	14	4.25
Trump organization wins lucrative contract to rebuild Syrian airport	15	3.335
Maine House Democrats vote to allow female genital mutilation	16	4.01
CNN shocks America, Fires journalist.	17	3.69
White House chef quits because Trump has only eaten fast food for 6 months	18	2.89
Hillary Clinton accepted \$30,000 donation from child sex cult.	19	4.425
Trump bringing back the draft.	20	3.69
<i>False Untagged Headlines</i>		
Trump wants to deport American Indians to India	21	2.6
Journalist who filmed Trump sobbing in garden still in custody	22	2.805
Baltimore mayor to spite Trump with taco trucks on every corner	23	2.98
Malia Obama among 10 arrested	24	4.265

DISPUTED TAGS

Rubio “Rape victims should be in custody if there is suspicion that they’re planning abortion”	25	2.87
Trump reveals which Dem president was also KKK member	26	4.215
Trump advisor denies moon landing	27	3.24
Michigan House passes human microchipping legislation	28	3.51
Denzel Washington supports Trump.	29	4.32
Republican senator unveils plan to send teachers to marine bootcamp	30	3.11

¹ Headline Reference # refers to the arbitrary number assigned to each headline in the data file available on the OSF (<https://osf.io/3x874>).

² Partisanship score was generated by Pennycook, Binnendyk, Newton, & Rand (2021) during the creation and testing of the large corpus of fake and real news headlines from which the headlines used herein were randomly chosen. Specifically, this score is the “partisanship combined” score of their Democratic and Republican participants’ response to the question “Assuming the above headline is entirely accurate, how favorable would it be to Democrats versus Republicans?” with the response options being “1. More favorable for Democrats, 2. Moderately more favorable for Democrats, 3. Slightly more favorable for Democrats, 4. Slightly more favorable for Republicans, 5. Moderately more favorable for Republicans, 6. More favorable for Republicans”. As such, a value greater than 3.5 means the headline was viewed as pro-Republican on average, and a value less than 3.5 means the headline was viewed as pro-Democrat on average. We collected these values from the dataset Pennycook et al. made publicly available online (<https://osf.io/uny58>).

Appendix B: Regression Output

Table A: Predicting Belief

Predictors	Base Model			Bade Model w/ Headline Partisanship		
	Std. Beta (SE)	95% CI	<i>p</i>	Std. Beta (SE)	95% CI	<i>p</i>
(Intercept)	0.00 (0.04)	-0.09 – 0.09	1.000	0.00 (0.04)	-0.09 – 0.09	1.000
Condition [False Untagged]	-0.01 (0.04)	-0.08 – 0.07	0.835	-0.01 (0.04)	-0.08 – 0.07	0.866
Condition [False Tagged]	-0.11 (0.04)	-0.19 – -0.04	0.004	-0.09 (0.04)	-0.17 – -0.01	0.024
Headline Partisanship				-0.04 (0.03)	-0.10 – 0.01	0.125
Random Effects						
σ^2	0.52			0.52		
τ_{00}	0.43 _{ID}			0.43 _{ID}		
	0.02 _{Headline_Num}			0.02 _{Headline_Num}		
τ_{11}	0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}			0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}		
	0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}			0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}		
ρ_{01}	-0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}			-0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}		
	-0.25 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}			-0.25 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}		
ICC	0.48			0.48		
N	318 _{ID}			318 _{ID}		
	30 _{Headline_Num}			30 _{Headline_Num}		
Observations	9540			9540		
Marginal R ² / Conditional R ²	0.009 / 0.482			0.011 / 0.483		

Table A: Linear mixed-effects models predicting belief in the headline. All condition effects are sum coded, and all other predictors are z-scored. *P*-values are derived using Welch-Satterthwaite approximation, and *p*-values less than 0.05 are bolded. The model with Headline Partisanship did not significantly improve model fit, $\chi^2(1) = 0.21$, $p = 0.645$.

Table B: Predicting Belief

Predictors	BIS Model			BIS Model w/ Headline Partisanship		
	Std. Beta (SE)	95% CI	<i>p</i>	Std. Beta (SE)	95% CI	<i>p</i>
(Intercept)	-0.00 (0.07)	-0.13 – 0.13	1.000	-0.00 (0.07)	-0.13 – 0.13	1.000
Condition [False Untagged]	-0.24 (0.09)	-0.42 – -0.06	0.012	-0.24 (0.09)	-0.42 – -0.05	0.014
Condition [False Tagged]	-0.28 (0.09)	-0.47 – -0.10	0.003	-0.27 (0.10)	-0.47 – -0.07	0.011
BIS Score	0.04 (0.02)	-0.00 – 0.08	0.061	0.04 (0.02)	-0.00 – 0.08	0.061
Condition	0.02	-0.01 – 0.05	0.156	0.02	-0.01 – 0.05	0.156

DISPUTED TAGS

[Untagged] x BIS	(0.01)			(0.01)		
Condition [Tagged] x BIS	0.00 (0.02)	-0.03 – 0.04	0.885	0.00 (0.02)	-0.03 – 0.04	0.885
Headline Partisanship				-0.03 (0.07)	-0.18 – 0.12	0.670
Random Effects						
σ^2	0.58			0.58		
τ_{00}	0.13 ID			0.13 ID		
	0.11 Headline_Num			0.12 Headline_Num		
τ_{11}	0.02 ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged			0.02 ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged		
	0.06 ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged			0.06 ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged		
ρ_{01}	0.43 ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged			0.43 ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged		
	0.02 ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged			0.02 ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged		
ICC	0.34			0.34		
N	318 ID			318 ID		
	30 Headline_Num			30 Headline_Num		
Observations	9540			9540		
Marginal R ² / Conditional R ²	0.136 / 0.431			0.136 / 0.433		

Table B: Linear mixed-effects models predicting belief in the headline. All condition effects are sum coded, and all other predictors are z-scored. *P*-values are derived using Welch-Satterthwaite approximation, and *p*-values less than 0.05 are bolded. The model with Headline Partisanship did not significantly improve model fit, $\chi^2(1) = 0.21$, $p = 0.645$.

Table C: Predicting Belief

<i>Predictors</i>	CRT Model			CRT Model w/ Headline Partisanship		
	<i>Std. Beta (SE)</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Std. Beta (SE)</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>p</i>
(Intercept)	-0.00 (0.07)	-0.13 – 0.13	1.000	-0.00 (0.07)	-0.13 – 0.13	1.000
Condition [False Untagged]	-0.24 (0.09)	-0.42 – -0.06	0.012	-0.24 (0.09)	-0.42 – -0.05	0.014
Condition [False Tagged]	-0.28 (0.09)	-0.47 – -0.10	0.003	-0.27 (0.10)	-0.47 – -0.07	0.011
CRT Score	-0.03 (0.02)	-0.07 – 0.02	0.252	-0.03 (0.02)	-0.07 – 0.02	0.252
Condition [Untagged] x CRT	-0.03 (0.01)	-0.05 – 0.00	0.053	-0.03 (0.01)	-0.05 – 0.00	0.053
Condition [Tagged] x CRT	-0.05 (0.02)	-0.08 – -0.01	0.008	-0.05 (0.02)	-0.08 – -0.01	0.008
Headline Partisanship				-0.03 (0.07)	-0.18 – 0.12	0.670
Random Effects						
σ^2	0.58			0.58		
τ_{00}	0.14 ID			0.14 ID		

DISPUTED TAGS

	0.11	Headline_Num	0.12	Headline_Num
τ_{11}	0.02	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged	0.02	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged
	0.06	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged	0.06	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged
ρ_{01}	0.44	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged	0.44	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged
	0.01	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged	0.01	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged
ICC	0.34		0.34	
N	318	ID	318	ID
	30	Headline_Num	30	Headline_Num
Observations	9540		9540	
Marginal R ² / Conditional R ²	0.138 / 0.431		0.138 / 0.433	

Table C: Linear mixed-effects models predicting belief in the headline. All condition effects are sum coded, and all other predictors are z-scored. *P*-values are derived using Welch-Satterthwaite approximation, and *p*-values less than 0.05 are bolded. The model with Headline Partisanship did not significantly improve model fit, $\chi^2(1) = 0.21$, $p = 0.645$.

Table D: Predicting Belief

<i>Predictors</i>	Party ID Model			Party ID Model w/ Headline Partisanship		
	<i>Std. Beta (SE)</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Std. Beta (SE)</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>p</i>
(Intercept)	0.00 (0.07)	-0.13 – 0.13	0.999	0.00 (0.07)	-0.13 – 0.13	0.999
Condition [False Untagged]	-0.24 (0.09)	-0.42 – -0.06	0.011	-0.24 (0.09)	-0.42 – -0.05	0.013
Condition [False Tagged]	-0.28 (0.09)	-0.46 – -0.10	0.004	-0.27 (0.10)	-0.47 – -0.07	0.009
Party ID [Democrat]	-0.01 (0.04)	-0.08 – 0.07	0.888	-0.01 (0.04)	-0.08 – 0.07	0.888
Party ID [Independent]	-0.00 (0.03)	-0.07 – 0.06	0.922	-0.00 (0.03)	-0.07 – 0.06	0.922
Condition[Untagged] x Party ID [Dem]	0.06 (0.04)	-0.02 – 0.14	0.121	0.06 (0.04)	-0.02 – 0.14	0.121
Condition[Tagged] x Party ID [Dem]	-0.09 (0.04)	-0.17 – -0.00	0.039	-0.09 (0.04)	-0.17 – -0.00	0.039
Condition[Untagged] x Party ID [Indep]	-0.01 (0.02)	-0.06 – 0.04	0.588	-0.01 (0.02)	-0.06 – 0.04	0.588
Condition[Tagged] x Party ID [Indep]	-0.08 (0.03)	-0.13 – -0.02	0.009	-0.08 (0.03)	-0.13 – -0.02	0.009
Headline Partisanship				-0.02 (0.06)	-0.15 – 0.11	0.770
Random Effects						
σ^2	0.56			0.56		
τ_{00}	0.14	ID		0.14	ID	
	0.11	Headline_Num		0.12	Headline_Num	
τ_{11}	0.02	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged		0.02	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged	
	0.05	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged		0.05	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged	
	0.02	Headline_Num.Party_ID_sumDemocrat		0.02	Headline_Num.Party_ID_sumDemocrat	

DISPUTED TAGS

	0.00	Headline_Num.Party_ID_sumIndependent	0.00	Headline_Num.Party_ID_sumIndependent
ρ_{01}	0.46		0.46	
	0.02		0.02	
	-0.02		-0.06	
	0.57		0.54	
ICC	0.36		0.36	
N	318	ID	318	ID
	30	Headline_Num	30	Headline_Num
Observations	9540		9540	
Marginal R ² / Conditional R ²	0.141 / 0.447		0.141 / 0.449	

Table D: Linear mixed-effects models predicting belief in the headline. All condition effects are sum coded, and all other predictors are z-scored. *P*-values are derived using Welch-Satterthwaite approximation, and *p*-values less than 0.05 are bolded. The model with Headline Partisanship did not significantly improve model fit, $\chi^2(1) = 0.05$, $p = 0.824$.

Table E: Predicting Belief

Predictors	Ideology Model			Ideology Model w/ Headline Partisanship		
	Std. Beta (SE)	95% CI	<i>p</i>	Std. Beta (SE)	95% CI	<i>p</i>
(Intercept)	-0.00 (0.07)	-0.13 – 0.13	1.000	-0.00 (0.07)	-0.14 – 0.14	1.000
Condition [False Untagged]	-0.24 (0.09)	-0.42 – -0.06	0.012	-0.24 (0.09)	-0.42 – -0.05	0.014
Condition [False Tagged]	-0.28 (0.09)	-0.46 – -0.10	0.003	-0.29 (0.10)	-0.50 – -0.09	0.006
Ideology [Conservatism]	0.03 (0.04)	-0.05 – 0.10	0.481	0.03 (0.04)	-0.05 – 0.10	0.481
Condition[Untagged] x Ideology	-0.05 (0.04)	-0.13 – 0.03	0.239	-0.05 (0.04)	-0.13 – 0.03	0.239
Condition[Tagged] x Ideology	0.14 (0.04)	0.05 – 0.22	0.002	0.14 (0.04)	0.05 – 0.22	0.002
Headline Partisanship				0.02 (0.07)	-0.12 – 0.16	0.776
Random Effects						
σ^2	0.55			0.55		
τ_{00}	0.14	ID		0.14	ID	
	0.11	Headline_Num		0.12	Headline_Num	
τ_{11}	0.02	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged		0.02	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged	
	0.05	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged		0.05	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged	
	0.02	Headline_Num.Ideology_std		0.02	Headline_Num.Ideology_std	
ρ_{01}	0.48	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged		0.48	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged	
	-0.02	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged		-0.02	ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged	
	-0.17	Headline_Num		-0.21	Headline_Num	
ICC	0.36			0.37		
N	318	ID		318	ID	

DISPUTED TAGS

	30 _{Headline_Num}	30 _{Headline_Num}
Observations	9540	9540
Marginal R ² / Conditional R ²	0.144 / 0.454	0.144 / 0.458

Table E: Linear mixed-effects models predicting belief in the headline. All condition effects are sum coded, and all other predictors are z-scored. *P*-values are derived using Welch-Satterthwaite approximation, and *p*-values less than 0.05 are bolded. The model with Headline Partisanship did not significantly improve model fit, $\chi^2(1) = 0.04$, $p = 0.837$.

Table F: Predicting Sharing Intentions

<i>Predictors</i>	Base Model			Bade Model w/ Headline Partisanship		
	<i>Std. Beta (SE)</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Std. Beta (SE)</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>p</i>
(Intercept)	0.00 (0.04)	-0.09 – 0.09	1.000	0.00 (0.04)	-0.09 – 0.09	1.000
Condition [False Untagged]	-0.01 (0.04)	-0.08 – 0.07	0.835	-0.01 (0.04)	-0.08 – 0.07	0.866
Condition [False Tagged]	-0.11 (0.04)	-0.19 – -0.04	0.004	-0.09 (0.04)	-0.17 – -0.01	0.024
Headline Partisanship				-0.04 (0.03)	-0.10 – 0.01	0.125
Random Effects						
σ^2	0.52			0.52		
τ_{00}	0.43 _{ID}			0.43 _{ID}		
	0.02 _{Headline_Num}			0.02 _{Headline_Num}		
τ_{11}	0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}			0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}		
	0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}			0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}		
ρ_{01}	-0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}			-0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}		
	-0.25 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}			-0.25 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}		
ICC	0.48			0.48		
N	318 _{ID}			318 _{ID}		
	30 _{Headline_Num}			30 _{Headline_Num}		
Observations	9540			9540		
Marginal R ² / Conditional R ²	0.009 / 0.482			0.011 / 0.483		

Table F: Linear mixed-effects models predicting sharing intentions. All condition effects are sum coded, and all other predictors are z-scored. *P*-values are derived using Welch-Satterthwaite approximation, and *p*-values less than 0.05 are bolded. The model with Headline Partisanship did not significantly improve model fit, $\chi^2(1) = 2.70$, $p = 0.101$.

Table G: Predicting Sharing Intentions

<i>Predictors</i>	BIS Model			BIS Model w/ Headline Partisanship		
	<i>Std. Beta (SE)</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Std. Beta (SE)</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>p</i>
(Intercept)	0.00 (0.04)	-0.09 – 0.09	1.000	0.00 (0.04)	-0.09 – 0.09	1.000

DISPUTED TAGS

Condition [False Untagged]	-0.01 (0.04)	-0.08 – 0.07	0.835	-0.01 (0.04)	-0.08 – 0.07	0.864
Condition [False Tagged]	-0.11 (0.04)	-0.19 – -0.04	0.004	-0.09 (0.04)	-0.17 – -0.01	0.022
BIS Score	0.03 (0.04)	-0.05 – 0.10	0.480	0.03 (0.04)	-0.05 – 0.10	0.480
Condition [Untagged] x BIS	0.02 (0.02)	-0.01 – 0.05	0.200	0.02 (0.02)	-0.01 – 0.05	0.200
Condition [Tagged] x BIS	0.00 (0.02)	-0.03 – 0.04	0.833	0.00 (0.02)	-0.03 – 0.04	0.833
Headline Partisanship				-0.04 (0.03)	-0.10 – 0.02	0.144
Random Effects						
σ^2	0.52			0.52		
τ_{00}	0.43 _{ID}			0.43 _{ID}		
	0.02 _{Headline_Num}			0.02 _{Headline_Num}		
τ_{11}	0.01 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}			0.01 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}		
	0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}			0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}		
	0.00 _{Headline_Num.BIS_std}			0.00 _{Headline_Num.BIS_std}		
ρ_{01}	-0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}			-0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}		
	-0.25 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}			-0.25 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}		
	0.23 _{Headline_Num}			0.08 _{Headline_Num}		
ICC	0.48			0.48		
N	318 _{ID}			318 _{ID}		
	30 _{Headline_Num}			30 _{Headline_Num}		
Observations	9540			9540		
Marginal R ² / Conditional R ²	0.010 / 0.484			0.012 / 0.485		

Table G: Linear mixed-effects models predicting sharing intentions. All condition effects are sum coded, and all other predictors are z-scored. *P*-values are derived using Welch-Satterthwaite approximation, and *p*-values less than 0.05 are bolded. The model with Headline Partisanship did not significantly improve model fit, $\chi^2(1) = 2.22$, $p = 0.136$.

Table H: Predicting Sharing Intentions

<i>Predictors</i>	CRT Model			CRT Model w/ Headline Partisanship		
	<i>Std. Beta (SE)</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Std. Beta (SE)</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>p</i>
(Intercept)	0.00 (0.04)	-0.09 – 0.09	1.000	0.00 (0.04)	-0.09 – 0.09	1.000
Condition [False Untagged]	-0.01 (0.04)	-0.08 – 0.07	0.835	-0.01 (0.04)	-0.08 – 0.07	0.870
Condition [False Tagged]	-0.11 (0.04)	-0.19 – -0.04	0.004	-0.09 (0.04)	-0.17 – -0.01	0.028
CRT Score	-0.07 (0.04)	-0.14 – 0.01	0.079	-0.07 (0.04)	-0.14 – 0.01	0.079
Condition [Untagged] x CRT	-0.01 (0.01)	-0.03 – 0.02	0.589	-0.01 (0.01)	-0.03 – 0.02	0.589

DISPUTED TAGS

Condition [Tagged] x CRT	-0.02 (0.01)	-0.04 – 0.01	0.270	-0.02 (0.01)	-0.04 – 0.01	0.270
Headline Partisanship				-0.05 (0.03)	-0.10 – 0.01	0.085
Random Effects						
σ^2	0.52			0.52		
τ_{00}	0.43 _{ID}			0.43 _{ID}		
	0.02 _{Headline_Num}			0.02 _{Headline_Num}		
τ_{11}	0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}			0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}		
	0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}			0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}		
	0.00 _{Headline_Num.CRT_std}			0.00 _{Headline_Num.CRT_std}		
ρ_{01}	-0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}			-0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}		
	-0.26 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}			-0.26 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}		
	0.06 _{Headline_Num}			-0.23 _{Headline_Num}		
ICC	0.48			0.48		
N	318 _{ID}			318 _{ID}		
	30 _{Headline_Num}			30 _{Headline_Num}		
Observations	9540			9540		
Marginal R ² / Conditional R ²	0.014 / 0.484			0.016 / 0.484		

Table H: Linear mixed-effects models predicting sharing intentions. All condition effects are sum coded, and all other predictors are z-scored. *P*-values are derived using Welch-Satterthwaite approximation, and *p*-values less than 0.05 are bolded. The model with Headline Partisanship did not significantly improve model fit, $\chi^2(1) = 2.93, p = 0.087$.

Table I: Predicting Sharing Intentions

<i>Predictors</i>	Party ID Model			Party ID Model w/ Headline Partisanship		
	<i>Std. Beta (SE)</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Std. Beta (SE)</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>p</i>
(Intercept)	-0.00 (0.04)	-0.09 – 0.09	0.993	-0.00 (0.04)	-0.09 – 0.09	0.993
Condition [False Untagged]	-0.01 (0.04)	-0.08 – 0.07	0.828	-0.01 (0.04)	-0.08 – 0.07	0.858
Condition [False Tagged]	-0.11 (0.04)	-0.19 – -0.04	0.004	-0.09 (0.04)	-0.17 – -0.01	0.026
Party ID [Democrat]	0.02 (0.05)	-0.08 – 0.12	0.700	0.02 (0.05)	-0.08 – 0.12	0.700
Party ID [Independent]	-0.14 (0.05)	-0.24 – -0.03	0.010	-0.14 (0.05)	-0.24 – -0.03	0.010
Condition[Untagged] x Party ID [Dem]	0.02 (0.02)	-0.02 – 0.05	0.299	0.02 (0.02)	-0.02 – 0.05	0.299
Condition[Tagged] x Party ID [Dem]	-0.08 (0.02)	-0.11 – -0.04	<0.001	-0.08 (0.02)	-0.11 – -0.04	<0.001
Condition[Untagged] x Party ID [Indep]	0.01 (0.02)	-0.02 – 0.05	0.497	0.01 (0.02)	-0.02 – 0.05	0.497
Condition[Tagged] x Party ID [Indep]	-0.03 (0.02)	-0.07 – 0.00	0.059	-0.03 (0.02)	-0.07 – 0.00	0.059

DISPUTED TAGS

Headline Partisanship		-0.04 (0.03)	-0.10 – 0.01	0.125
Random Effects				
σ^2	0.52		0.52	
τ_{00}	0.42 _{ID}		0.42 _{ID}	
	0.02 _{Headline_Num}		0.02 _{Headline_Num}	
τ_{11}	0.01 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}		0.01 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}	
	0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}		0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}	
ρ_{01}	0.00 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}		0.00 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}	
	-0.36 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}		-0.36 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}	
ICC	0.47		0.47	
N	318 _{ID}		318 _{ID}	
	30 _{Headline_Num}		30 _{Headline_Num}	
Observations	9540		9540	
Marginal R ² / Conditional R ²	0.023 / 0.484		0.025 / 0.484	

Table I: Linear mixed-effects models predicting sharing intentions. All condition effects are sum coded, and all other predictors are z-scored. *P*-values are derived using Welch-Satterthwaite approximation, and *p*-values less than 0.05 are bolded. The model with Headline Partisanship did not significantly improve model fit, $\chi^2(1) = 2.70$, $p = 0.100$.

Table J: Predicting Sharing Intentions

<i>Predictors</i>	Ideology Model			Ideology Model w/ Headline Partisanship		
	<i>Std. Beta (SE)</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Std. Beta (SE)</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>p</i>
(Intercept)	0.00 (0.04)	-0.09 – 0.09	1.000	0.00 (0.04)	-0.09 – 0.09	1.000
Condition [False Untagged]	-0.01 (0.04)	-0.08 – 0.07	0.835	-0.01 (0.04)	-0.09 – 0.07	0.835
Condition [False Tagged]	-0.11 (0.04)	-0.19 – -0.04	0.003	-0.12 (0.04)	-0.20 – -0.04	0.007
Ideology [Conservatism]	0.06 (0.05)	-0.03 – 0.15	0.201	0.06 (0.05)	-0.03 – 0.15	0.201
Condition[Untagged] x Ideology	-0.02 (0.04)	-0.10 – 0.06	0.634	-0.02 (0.04)	-0.10 – 0.06	0.634
Condition[Tagged] x Ideology	0.09 (0.04)	0.01 – 0.18	0.031	0.09 (0.04)	0.01 – 0.18	0.031
Headline Partisanship				0.01 (0.03)	-0.05 – 0.06	0.785
Random Effects						
σ^2	0.50			0.50		
τ_{00}	0.43 _{ID}			0.43 _{ID}		
	0.02 _{Headline_Num}			0.02 _{Headline_Num}		
τ_{11}	0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}			0.02 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged}		
	0.01 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}			0.01 _{ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged}		
	0.02 _{Headline_Num.Ideology_std}			0.02 _{Headline_Num.Ideology_std}		

DISPUTED TAGS

ρ_{01}	-0.00 <small>ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged</small>	-0.00 <small>ID.Condition_sumFalse_Untagged</small>
	-0.38 <small>ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged</small>	-0.38 <small>ID.Condition_sumFalse_Tagged</small>
	-0.43 <small>Headline_Num</small>	-0.45 <small>Headline_Num</small>
ICC	0.50	0.50
N	318 <small>ID</small>	318 <small>ID</small>
	30 <small>Headline_Num</small>	30 <small>Headline_Num</small>
Observations	9540	9540
Marginal R ² / Conditional R ²	0.018 / 0.508	0.018 / 0.508

Table J: Linear mixed-effects models predicting sharing intentions. All condition effects are sum coded, and all other predictors are z-scored. *P*-values are derived using Welch-Satterthwaite approximation, and *p*-values less than 0.05 are bolded. The model with Headline Partisanship did not significantly improve model fit, $\chi^2(1) = 0.22$, $p = 0.881$.